

TDMoIP Updates

PWE3 – 53rd IETF

21 March 2002

Yaakov (J) Stein

TDMoIP Slide 1



What's new in the TDMoIP draft (version 03)?

- Edited to conform with PWE concepts/terminology
 - Elimination of motivational text
 - Added applicability statement
 - Layering made explicit
 - Isolation of PSN-dependent details
- Control word format update
- \bullet Explicit treatment of MPLS / L2TPv3 / L2Eth
- New OAM/IPPM section added



higher layers

TDMoIP layering structure

	PSN / multiplexing RTP header when need timing TDMoIP Encapsulation					
7						
	AAL1	AAL2	HDLC			

AAL1 used for preconfigured setup

AAL2 used for *dynamic bandwidth*

HDLC used for CCS signaling



AAL1 for structured TDM

As discussed in the previous meeting

"AAL1" is the simplest method to robustly transport structured TDM (voice, sync, signaling)

ATM community has done the debugging for us!

Any alternative will either

- Fall apart upon packet loss or
- Be less efficient (e.g. require payload duplication) or
- Mandate high latency (e.g. multiframe per packet) or
- Require PE to understand TDM intricacies or
- Be essentially equivalent (I.e. contain a structure pointer)



AAL2 for Dynamic BW

AAL1 is BW inefficient when timeslots are dynamic

Even with GB rates we should consider efficiency considerations

"AAL2" is the simplest method to robustly transport dynamic structured TDM

Any alternative will either

- Fall apart upon packet loss
 or
- Be less efficient (e.g. require renegotiation) or
- Require PE to understand TDM intricacies or
- Be essentially equivalent



Unified Approach to TDM PW

PSN /	multip	lexing
-------	--------	--------

RTP header when need timing

FORMID TDMoIP Encapsulation								
Raw frames	AAL1	AAL2	SONET/ SDH	HDLC				

Similar to "profiles" in some VoX protocols



The problem is the motivation

Raw frames	AAL1	AAL2	SONET/ SDH	HDLC
---------------	------	------	---------------	------

Why so many different payload formats to transport TDM ?

Division of application space

AAL1/2 for low speed, SONET/SDH for high-speed How justify raw frames except for simple implementation

Service Interworking

Obvious when interfacing to AAL/SONET networks but which should be used for simple TDM?



Proposed Solution

- MUST use SONET/SDH for high rate
- For low rate (E3/T3 and below) :
 - MUST use raw frames for unstructured
 - MUST use AAL1 for structured / static timeslot with CAS
 - MUST use AAL2 when dynamic timeslot allocation required
 - MAY use either raw or AAL1 for structured w/o CAS